

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Approved For Release 2001/07/28 : CIA-RDP67-00059A000100150025-7

D R A F T

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

11443

C.I.G. DIRECTIVE NO. _____

REVIEW OF PUBLICATIONS

Memorandum by the Director of Central Intelligence with
Unanimous Concurrence by the Intelligence Advisory Board

1. In order to effectuate one of the purposes of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 creating a National Intelligence Authority to plan for the coordination of intelligence activities and establish such overall policies and objectives as will assure effective accomplishment of the national intelligence mission, it is deemed necessary that intelligence publications issued by Central Intelligence Group, by the member departments, by joint or interdepartmental organizations of such departments, and by other Federal agencies, be subjected to a careful and continuing review by the Central Intelligence Group, with the view to eliminating undesirable duplication and unnecessary reports, insuring adequate dissemination of such publications, and preventing excessive distribution.

2. Accordingly, each intelligence publication issued by departments and organizations mentioned in paragraph 1 shall be reviewed by the Central Intelligence Group; and copies shall be furnished to the Central Intelligence Group as required, with an indication in each case of distribution made. In the case of a proposed new publication, unless the time element prevents, the department originating the publication should submit it for review in advance.

3. For the purposes of this directive, "publication" is defined as any paper or report containing a finished product (evaluated or summarized intelligence as distinguished from "raw" intelligence or intelligence information) issued in periodical form or as part of a series, and any singly-issued paper or report containing finished intelligence reproduced in more than 20 copies.

Approved For Release 2001/07/28 : CIA-RDP67-00059A000100150025-7

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONFIDENTIALD R A F T

11443

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY, JOINT INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: Publications Review Subcommittee

REFERENCE: Memorandum for the Director of Central Intelligence
from the Secretary, J.I.C., same subject, dated
19 April 1946

1. In further reply to the reference memorandum, study by the Central Intelligence Group of the functions performed by the Publications Review Subcommittee of the Joint Intelligence Committee as set forth in the enclosure to your memorandum, indicates that such functions are encompassed in the responsibilities assigned to the National Intelligence Authority by the President in his letter of 22 January 1946 establishing the Authority.

2. Accordingly, the Central Intelligence Group as agent for the National Intelligence Authority will assume in the future the responsibility of reviewing all intelligence publications issued by Federal agencies, with the view to eliminating duplication and unnecessary reports, insuring adequate dissemination of such publications, and curtailing excessive distribution.

3. The effective date for the assumption of such review responsibility by the Central Intelligence Group will be _____. It is suggested that you notify the War and Navy Departments of the dissolution on such date of the Publications Review Subcommittee of the Joint Intelligence Committee, and that the Joint Chiefs of Staff be requested to rescind their basic directive to the Joint Intelligence Committee.

Hoyt S. Vandenberg
Lieut. General, U.S.A.
Director

CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

D R A F T

11443

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

CIG ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. _____

1. With the promulgation of C.I.G. Directive No. _____, dated _____, the Central Intelligence Group has assumed the responsibility of reviewing intelligence publications as therein defined in order to eliminate undesirable duplication and unnecessary reports, to insure adequate dissemination of such publications, and to prevent excessive distribution.
2. Pursuant to the provisions of the above cited directive, material published or to be published by C.I.G. and material submitted by other Federal organizations will be referred to the Office of Collection and Dissemination for review.
3. Where duplication is found to exist, or when indicated dissemination does not appear appropriate, the matter shall be coordinated between representatives of OCD and the other office or organization concerned and resolution of the situation attempted. If a satisfactory arrangement or agreement is not worked out among these parties, a formal report setting forth the entire matter together with recommendations shall be submitted by OCD to the Director of Central Intelligence for his consideration and, in appropriate cases, for determination whether presentation to the Intelligence Advisory Board is indicated.
4. The Office of Collection and Dissemination shall effect the necessary procedures within its office for the actual handling of the material covered by this order.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Inc 5

TOP SECRET
CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET
RESTRICTED

ROUTING SLIP

ICAPS

DATE

FROM

25X1A

TO

✓ Aw

6. TITLE

INFORMATION

PREPARE REPLY

APPROVAL

ACTION

COMMIT

CONCURRENCE

RETURN TO

REMARKS:

as agreed at ICAPS

Mark (initials)

TOP SECRET
CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET
RESTRICTED

ROUTING SLIP

ICAPS

DATE

6/11

FROM

25X1A

TO

Document (1)

1. (b) (1)
2. (b) (2)
3. (b) (3)
4. S2
5. (b) (1)
6. (b) (1)

FILE

INFORMATION

PRIVILEGE REPLY

APPROVAL

ACTION

COMMENT

CONCURRENCE

RETURN TO

REMARKS:

(1) Concur in objective but
not in contents of papers which
are not clear & unnecessarily
wordy - Question advisability
of OCD doing more than
dissemination Control - Review
of subject matter would seem
to fall to ORE, with ICAPS
acting on elimination of
unnecessary publications - What.

② This another case of negative action, - a prohibition, a restriction imposed by CIG on agency activities. When we have proven, by production, our worth, let's then eliminate duplication.

c. Therefore, I don't believe this has a chinaman's chance with the IAB. -

(13)

(3) Concern an objective but don't believe we can make it effective under existing circumstances. I also appear to me that implementation of the directive should be a joint responsibility of OCS and OPE HQ.

(14) I think this is quicksand at present time, e.g.

(5) This is not the time to bring this up. OKE should handle review of initial when we get to that point.